FILE D

STATE OF ARIZONA

SEP 1 1 1995

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By

In the Matter of

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

By

Docket No. 95-118

In the Matter of) Docket No. 95-11)
PMH HEALTH SERVICES) ORDER

Respondent.

On July 10, 1995, a hearing was held in the above-captioned matter before Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal. Assistant Attorney General W. Mark Sendrow appeared on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance (the "Department") and J. Michael Low and Kathy A. Steadman of Low & Childers, P.C. appeared on behalf of Respondent PMH Health Services Network ("PMH").

PMH and the Department stipulated that the hearing of this matter concerns two issues: 1) whether a health care services organization is considered a life or health insurer within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C); and 2) whether PMH holds a direct or indirect ownership interest in a life or health insurer within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C).

The record of this matter remained open to allow the parties an opportunity to brief these issues. The deadline for filing responding briefs was August 11, 1995, and the record of this matter closed on that date.

Based upon the entire record in this matter, including all pleadings, motions, testimony and exhibits admitted during the hearing of this matter, Administrative Law Judge Lewis D.

Kowal has prepared the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for consideration and approval by the Director, and

NETWORK.

based upon these recommendations, the Director makes the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and enters the following Order:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On February 10, 1995, PMH, an Arizona not-for-profit corporation, filed with the Department an Application for Registration as a Life and Health Administrator (the "Application").
- 2. PMH is part of the PMH healthcare system which consists of five other entities: a) PMH Health Resources, Inc. ("Resources"), b) PMH Health Systems Strategy Group, c) Terraco Properties, Inc., d) Phoenix Memorial Hospital Foundation, and e) PMH Primary Care, Inc.
- 3. Resources, a for-profit Arizona corporation, is the sole statutory member of PMH and of the other corporations comprising the PMH healthcare system within the meaning of A.R.S. §10-1002(20). The term "member" as defined in A.R.S. §10-1002(20) means "any person having membership rights in a corporation in accordance with its articles of incorporation or bylaws."
- 4. Resources is the holding company of the corporations which comprise the PMH healthcare system.
- 5. On April 21, 1995, the Department issued Premier Health Care, Inc. ("Premier") a certificate of authority to transact business as a health care services organization pursuant to A.R.S. §20-1051 et seq. (the "certificate").
- 6. The certificate for Premier was valid and in good standing but subject to renewal as of June 30, 1995.
 - 7. Resources holds a twelve and a half percent

(12.5%) ownership interest in Premier in the form of stock.

- 8. PMH does not directly own stock in Premier.
- 9. Resources has approval rights over the exercise of certain major actions of the other corporations comprising the PMH healthcare system. Resources also has the right to appoint directors for those corporations.
- 10. The bylaws of Resources require a certain amount of overlap between the board of directors of Resources and the board of directors of the other corporations comprising the PMH healthcare system, including PMH.
- 11. There is one individual who sits on the board of directors of both Resources and Premier.
- 12. There are two individuals who sit on the board of directors of both Resources and PMH.
- 13. On May 25, 1995, the Department denied the Application on the basis of PMH's direct or indirect ownership interest in Premier. The Department found that ownership interest to be a prohibited direct or indirect ownership interest in a life or health insurer within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C).
- 14. On May 31, 1995, PMH filed with the Department a Demand for Hearing.
- 15. On June 16, 1995, the Department issued a Notice of Hearing with regard to this matter.
- 16. Premier will offer the following services or benefits to persons in Arizona: hospital care, physician visits, physician services in hospital, x-ray and laboratory services, maternity and pregnancy care, dental care, preventive health

care, health education, home health care, mental health care, rehabilitative services, treatment for alcoholism and drug abuse, skilled nursing facility services, ambulance services, emergency room and urgent care services, emergency services outside the service area, prescription drug services, organ and tissue transplants, hemodialysis, medical supplies and prosthetic devices, breast reconstruction and breast prostheses, and blood processing.

- 17. Premier contracts with hospitals and medical professionals to provide health care services to Premier's enrollees. The compensation to the hospitals and medical professionals is on a prepaid capitated basis based upon the number of enrollees assigned to the particular hospital or medical professional. The prepaid capitated fees are paid to the hospitals and medical professionals that contract with Premier regardless of actual usage. In addition to services provided on a prepaid basis, Premier reimburses enrollees for specified emergency and out-of-network services.
- primarily in the field of general corporate law with concentration in health care, was a witness for PMH. Mr. Bixby testified that Resources has the approval rights over the exercise of certain major actions of PMH and that Resources has the right to appoint directors to the board of directors of PMH. According to Mr. Bixby, Resources' significant asset outside the PMH healthcare system is its interest in Premier, and Resources' financial condition is, in part, affected by how well Premier does or will do.

19. Nancy Novick, Vice-President of Managed Care for PMH, testified that if the Application was granted, PMH plans to provide the following services to plans as a third party administrator: claims adjudication, utilization management, premium collection and other services typically provided by third party administrators.

20. In the event that PMH's application is granted and PMH acts as an administrator for Premier, PMH, through its indirect affiliation with Premier, would have incentive to minimize claims payments or health benefits for Premier's enrollees.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. PMH received notice of this proceeding as prescribed by A.R.S. §§20-163 and 41-1061.
- 2. The Director has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-161 and 20-485 et seq.
- 3. The Arizona Court of Appeals has identified five elements that constitute an insurance contract: an insurable interest; a risk of loss; an assumption of the risk by the insurer; a general scheme to distribute the loss among the larger group of persons bearing similar risks; and the payment of a premium for the assumption of risk. See <u>Jim Click Ford, Inc. v. City of Tucson</u>, 154 Ariz. 48, 739 P.2d 1365 (App. 1987); Guaranteed Warranty Corp., Inc. v. State ex rel. Humphrey, 23 Ariz. App. 327, 533 P.2d 87 (App. 1975).
- 4. Premier's contracts to its enrollees contain the five elements that are necessary to constitute an insurance contract. Those elements are as follows: a) The health of the

Premier's enrollees constitutes an insurable interest; b) the 1 2 risk of loss is the cost incurred by enrollees in receiving health care services; c) Premier assumes that risk of loss; d) 3 Premier's enrollees pay a predetermined fee for coverage of health care services which does not vary with the nature or 5 extent of health care services provided to an enrollee, thereby 6 Premier operates under a general scheme to distribute a loss among a large group of persons (Premier's enrollees) bearing 8 similar risks; 1 and e) the fee which Premier charges its 9 enrollees for health care plan constitutes the charging of a 10 premium within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-1103. Premier's 11 contracts with its enrollees are insurance contracts within the 12 meaning of A.R.S. §20-103(A). 13

5. Insurance contracts are not limited to traditional indemnity contracts, but may include other contracts as well such as service contracts. See <u>Jim Click Ford</u>, Inc., 154 Ariz. at 48.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 6. The term "insurance" is defined as a contract by which one undertakes to indemnify another or to pay a specified amount upon determinable contingencies. A.R.S. §20-103(A).
- 7. A broad definition of the term "indemnify" has been used by the Arizona Court of Appeals to mean "[t]o restore the victim of a loss, in whole or in part, by payment, repair, or

An enrollee pays Premier a predetermined fee for coverage of health care services in the event that the enrollee needs such services. Premier may never provide any health care services to certain enrollees and may perform minimal or extensive health care services to other enrollees.

replacement. To save harmless; to secure against loss or damage; to give security for the reimbursement of a person in case of an anticipated loss falling upon him (citation omitted)." <u>Jim Click Ford, Inc.</u>, 154 Ariz. at 50.

- 8. Premier "indemnifies" its enrollees by holding its enrollees harmless from the costs of health care services in excess of the enrollees' premium and copayment.
- 9. Premier is an "insurer" within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-104 and a "health insurer" within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C).
- 10. The terms "direct or indirect ownership" and "owner" are not defined in A.R.S. Title 20, Chapter 2. When the term "owner" is used in statutes, it is to be given wide construction guided in part by the object sought to be accomplished. City of Phoenix v. State of Arizona, 60 Ariz. 369, 373, 137, P.2d 783, 786 (1943).
- 11. The conflict of interest provisions of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C) are intended to prevent a situation where the administrator may be economically motivated to prefer the interests of the insurer over the interests of the insureds.
- 12. If the Application is granted and Premier acts as an administrator for Premier, the organizational structure of the PMH healthcare system and Premier creates economic motivation for PMH to prefer the interests of Premier over those of Premier's enrollees. Such a conflict of interest is prohibited by A.R.S. §20-485.11(C).
- 13. PMH has an indirect ownership interest in Premier within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-485.11(C).

ORDER

2||

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

IT IS ORDERED:

Denying the application for certificate of registration as a Life and Health Administrator submitted by PMH Health Services Network.

DATED this 11th day of September, 1995.

Chris Herstam

Director of Insurance

LEWIS D. KOWAL

Chief Administrative Law Judge

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

The aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written petition with the Administrative Law Division within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for such relief pursuant to A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §20-166.

COPY of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 11th day of September, 1995, to:

Charles R. Cohen, Deputy Director Gregory Y. Harris, Executive Assistant Director Gary Torticill, Assistant Director Deloris Williamson, Assistant Director Department of Insurance 2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210

Phoenix, Arizona 85018

W. Mark Sendrow
Assistant Attorney General
1275 W. Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

J. Michael Low

Kathy A. Steadman
Low & Childers, P.C.
2999 N. 44th Street, Suite 250
Phoenix, Arizona 85018

Chris Crawford Chris Crawford